Column-Based Matrix Partitioning for Parallel Matrix Multiplication on Heterogeneous Processors Based on Functional Performance Models

David Clarke Alexey Lastovetsky Vladimir Rychkov

Heterogeneous Computing Laboratory, School of Computer Science and Informatics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland http://hcl.ucd.ie

HeteroPar'2011





David Clarke, Alexey Lastovetsky, Vladimir Rychkov

Heterogeneous Two-Dimensional Matrix Partitioning



#### Motivation

Parallel Matrix Multiplication Routine

Matrix Partitioning Algorithms

**Experimental Results** 

Conclusions

Motivation

Parallel Matrix Multiplication Routine Matrix Partitioning Algorithms Experimental Results Conclusions

# Why optimize Matrix Multiplication?













Optimising Parallel Matrix Multiplication on a Heterogeneous Platform

- Partition in proportion to processor speed.
- Minimise volume of communication.
- Partition in proportion to interconnect speed.



#### General (NP complete)



P1	Р5	Р9	P13
P2	P6	P10	P14
P3	Р7	P11	P15
P4	P8	P12	P16

#### Cartesian



```
allocate and initialise matrices A, B, C;
allocate workspace WA, WB;
for k = 0 \rightarrow N - 1 do
  if (is pivot row) then
     point WB to local pivot row of B;
     Broadcast WB to all in column:
  else
     Receive WB:
  end if
  if (is pivot column) then
     point WA to local pivot column of A;
     Send WA horizontally;
  else
     receive WA:
  end if
   \mathsf{DGEMM}(\ldots, WA, WB, C, \ldots);
end for
```



```
allocate and initialise matrices A, B, C;
allocate workspace WA, WB;
for k = 0 \rightarrow N - 1 do
  if (is pivot row) then
     point WB to local pivot row of B;
     Broadcast WB to all in column:
  else
     Receive WB:
  end if
  if (is pivot column) then
     point WA to local pivot column of A;
     Send WA horizontally;
  else
     receive WA:
  end if
  \mathsf{DGEMM}(\ldots, WA, WB, C, \ldots);
end for
```



```
allocate and initialise matrices A, B, C;
allocate workspace WA, WB;
for k = 0 \rightarrow N - 1 do
  if (is pivot row) then
     point WB to local pivot row of B;
     Broadcast WB to all in column:
  else
     Receive WB:
  end if
  if (is pivot column) then
     point WA to local pivot column of A;
     Send WA horizontally;
  else
     receive WA:
  end if
  \mathsf{DGEMM}(\ldots, WA, WB, C, \ldots);
end for
```



Benchmarking on each processor must be independent of other processors: serial code.

```
allocate and initialise matrices A, B, C;
allocate workspace WA, WB;
```

```
start timer;
    MPI_Send(A, ..., MPI_COMM_SELF);
    MPI_Recv(WA, ..., MPI_COMM_SELF);
    memcpy(WB, B, ...);
    DGEMM(..., WA, WB, C, ...);
stop timer;
```

free memory;

### Matrix Partitioning Algorithms

- Column-Based Partitioning (Kalinov & Lastovetsky 1999) (KL)
- Minimising Total Communication Volume (Beaumont, Boudet, Rastello, Robert, 2001) (BR)
- 1D Functional Performance Model-based Partitioning (Lastovetsky, Reddy, 2007) (FPM1D)
- 2D Functional Performance Model-based Partitioning (Lastovetsky, Reddy, 2010) (FPM-KL)
- New Two-Dimensional Matrix Partitioning Algorithm (FPM-BR)

Column-Based Partitioning (KL)

- Processors are arranged into columns.
- The width of each column is in proportion to the sum of the speeds of the processors in that column.
- Within each column the heights are calculated in proportion to speed.



Column-Based Partitioning (KL)

- Processors are arranged into columns.
- The width of each column is in proportion to the sum of the speeds of the processors in that column.
- Within each column the heights are calculated in proportion to speed.

- However, communication cost is not taken into account.
- Uses inaccurate, single-value performance model of processor speed.

Minimising Total Communication Volume (BR)

- Column-based algorithm.
- Computes:
  - Optimum number of columns
  - Optimum number of processors in each column
- Such that:
  - Workload is distributed in proportion to speed,
  - Total volume of communication is minimised.

Minimising Total Communication Volume (BR)

- Column-based algorithm.
- Computes:
  - Optimum number of columns
  - Optimum number of processors in each column
- Such that:
  - Workload is distributed in proportion to speed,
  - Total volume of communication is minimised.
- However, uses inaccurate, single-value performance model of processor speed.

#### Minimising Total Communication Volume (**BR**)



Total volume of communication =  $\sum_{i}^{p} (m_i + n_i)$ "the sum of the half perimeters" minimised when  $m_i \approx n_i$ 

#### Realistic Performance Models

- Traditionally, processor performance is defined by a constant number.
- In reality, speed is a function of problem size.
- Algorithms based on constant performance models are only applicable for limited problem sizes.



## 1D Functional Performance Model-based Partitioning (FPM1D)

▶ Problem is solved geometrically by noting that the points  $(d_i, s_i(d_i))$  lie on a line passing through the origin when  $\frac{d_i}{s_i(d_i)} = constant$ .





2D Functional Performance Model-based Partitioning (FPM-KL)

- Column-based partitioning with 2D performance models.
- Processors are arranged in a grid  $p \times q$
- Column widths are initially distributed  $n_j = N/q \ \forall j$ .

Iterating:

- 1. 1D models are sliced from 2D at column widths.
- Optimum partitioning within each column is solved with FPM1D algorithm.
- 3. If disbalance  $< \epsilon$  then finished, else continue.
- 4. Single value speeds from this partitioning used to calculate new column widths.



2D Functional Performance Model-based Partitioning (FPM-KL)

- Does not take communication cost into account.
- Processor grid is fixed.
- Relies on single speed values to calculate new column widths.
- Building full 2D models is expensive



New Two-Dimensional Matrix Partitioning Algorithm (FPM-BR)

- ▶ Height m<sub>i</sub> and width n<sub>i</sub> combined into one parameter, area d<sub>i</sub> = m<sub>i</sub> × n<sub>i</sub>.
- Square areas are benchmarked  $m = n = \sqrt{d}$ .
- Partition with FPM1D algorithm, find area rectangles.
- BR algorithm computes ordering and shape of these rectangles.



P2	P1	P10	P11
	P14	P15	P16
P3		P13	P8
P4	P9		P5
		P12	P7
			P6

Assumption square area performance is the same as performance of any rectangle of the same area, s(x,x) = s(x/c, c.x).

- not always true.



Lines connect benchmarks of equal area



Assumption square area performance is the same as performance of any rectangle of the same area, s(x,x) = s(x/c, c.x). - not always true.

(Sd 1.2 0 1 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.2 0.2

1.20

1.4

0 1.40

Lines connect benchmarks of equal area

20.1 40.1





#### Experimental Results



16 heterogeneous nodes, local HCL cluster.



64 nodes from Grid5000 Lille site (4 types of nodes).

Matrix partitioning for 14 nodes

FPM-KL			
05	04		
01	11		
07	09		
02	12		
06	10		
03	13		
08	14		



FPM-BR					
02	04	10	13		
			08		
03	14	12	05		
01	09	11	06		
			07		

TVC: 7.457 Time: 166.0sec



- New FPM-BR algorithm can outperform existing algorithms.
- Allows use of simpler 1D models.
- ► Total volume of communication is minimised.

# Questions?